INTERFACE

royalsocietypublishing.org/journal/rsif

Research



Cite this article: Fuentes-Hernández A, Hernández-Koutoucheva A, Muñoz AF, Domínguez Palestino R, Peña-Miller R. 2019 Diffusion-driven enhancement of the antibiotic resistance selection window. *J. R. Soc. Interface* **16**: 20190363. http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rsif.2019.0363

Received: 24 May 2019 Accepted: 14 August 2019

Subject Category:

Life Sciences-Mathematics interface

Subject Areas: biomathematics, systems biology

Keywords:

antibiotic resistance, spatial structure, mathematical modelling, 3D printing

Author for correspondence:

Ayari Fuentes-Hernández e-mail: ayarifh@ccq.unam.mx

[†]These authors contributed equally to this work.

Diffusion-driven enhancement of the antibiotic resistance selection window

Ayari Fuentes-Hernández, Anastasia Hernández-Koutoucheva†,

Alán F. Muñoz[†], Raúl Domínguez Palestino[†] and Rafael Peña-Miller

Laboratorio de Biología Sintética y de Sistemas, Centro de Ciencias Genómicas, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, 62210 Cuernavaca, Mexico

AF-H, 0000-0002-8157-342X; AH-K, 0000-0002-0197-9092; AFM, 0000-0001-8698-5477; RP-M, 0000-0002-2767-0640

The current crisis of antimicrobial resistance in clinically relevant pathogens has highlighted our limited understanding of the ecological and evolutionary forces that drive drug resistance adaptation. For instance, although human tissues are highly heterogeneous, most of our mechanistic understanding about antibiotic resistance evolution is based on constant and well-mixed environmental conditions. A consequence of considering spatial heterogeneity is that, even if antibiotics are prescribed at high dosages, the penetration of drug molecules through tissues inevitably produces antibiotic gradients, exposing bacterial populations to a range of selective pressures and generating a dynamic fitness landscape that changes in space and time. In this paper, we will use a combination of mathematical modelling and computer simulations to study the population dynamics of susceptible and resistant strains competing for resources in a network of micro-environments with varying degrees of connectivity. Our main result is that highly connected environments increase diffusion of drug molecules, enabling resistant phenotypes to colonize a larger number of spatial locations. We validated this theoretical result by culturing fluorescently labelled Escherichia coli in 3D-printed devices that allow us to control the rate of diffusion of antibiotics between neighbouring compartments and quantify the spatio-temporal distribution of resistant and susceptible bacterial cells.

1. Introduction

The introduction of antimicrobial substances as therapeutic agents has had a dramatic effect in decreasing mortality and morbidity associated with infectious diseases. However, the indiscriminate use of these substances, in conjunction with the accelerated rate of adaptation exhibited by pathogenic bacteria, has dramatically reduced the efficacy of antimicrobial therapies and presents us with the possibility of a healthcare crisis of catastrophic dimensions [1]. In this context, it is necessary to invest in the discovery of new drugs [2] and to reduce the use of antimicrobial substances, both in the clinic [3] and elsewhere [4], but also to increase our understanding about the complex interaction between antibiotics, bacteria and hosts.

Of course, the ultimate goal of antimicrobial therapy is to drive a pathogenic population to extinction, so antibiotics must be prescribed at concentrations high enough for bacterial cells to die. Even if complete clearance of pathogens cannot be achieved, conventional wisdom states that high drug dosages suppress growth of the bacterial population and adjuvate the immune system to control the infection. Another benefit of maximizing the inhibitory effect of antibiotics is that, in principle, the mutational supply is reduced and therefore the probability that an individual in the population acquires an antibiotic-resistance mutation is lower [5] (although studies have shown that mutation rates can be density-dependant [6] and increased in the presence of antimicrobial substances [7]).

But aggressive antibiotic protocols can accelerate the rate of adaptation, for instance by suppressing susceptible competitors and releasing resources that

promote growth of the resistant subpopulation [8]. Motivated by the evident failure of the current *hit early, hit hard* prescription strategy [9], a series of theoretical and experimental studies have argued that antimicrobial therapies should consider resistance management instead of focusing exclusively in pathogenic clearance, for example by using shorter [10] and less aggressive [11] treatments, multidrug combination therapies [12,13], sequential treatments [14–16] and increasing drug appropriateness with better point-of-care diagnostic tests [17,18].

Another problem associated with the use of high doses of antibiotics is that, even if a lethal drug concentration is administered, a heterogeneous spatial structure will produce an antibiotic gradient and thus expose the pathogenic bacteria to a range of selective pressures in favour of resistance. Indeed, it is well known that the therapeutic use of antibiotics sees in vivo drug concentrations sweep from high concentrations downwards during treatment (with a considerable time spent at low drug concentrations), producing low-dose sanctuaries that have been observed in bacterial [19] and viral infections [20], promoting the evolution of antibiotic resistance [21]. For this reason, previous studies have focused on evaluating the effect of sublethal doses in the evolution of drug resistance [22] and in modulating virulence factors [23], showing that selection for resistance can occur at very low antibiotic doses [24,25] and that antibiotic gradients can accelerate the rate of drug-resistance adaptation [26-28]. Similarly, convection [29] and cell migration [13,30] can lead to increases in drug resistance through sequential adaptive steps in space and time.

As the ecological and evolutionary dynamics of a microbial community depend on the genotypic and functional complexity of the population and their interaction with the environment [31], then the chemical composition of the media is a key driver of microbial ecological and evolutionary dynamics. Crucially, this is modified by the physical properties of the environment, for instance its temperature [32] and humidity [33], but also by structural properties like geometry [34] and porosity [35]. In this paper, we will argue that the connectivity between different spatial locations is directly responsible for the distribution of antibiotics in the environment, thus producing a range of selective pressures in favour of resistance.

In short, we will use a population dynamics model to argue that selection for resistance is correlated with the degree of connectivity of the environment, both in a linear array of connected micro-environments and also in networks with different topological properties. This prediction will be validated empirically using an experimental model system based on spatially explicit culture devices built using computer aided design software and 3D printing technology. These devices allow us to control the rate of diffusion of drug molecules between neighbouring micro-environments, while quantifying spatio-temporal changes in the relative frequency of fluorescently tagged resistant and susceptible *Escherichia coli* strains.

2. Results

2.1. Competitive fitness in a range of drug

concentrations

In this paper, we will use two strains of *E. coli* MC4100 [36,37]: WCL, a strain carrying Km^R , a gene that provides resistance to

the aminoglicocide antibiotic kanamycin, and GBY, a susceptible strain that lacks Km^R . All experiments were conducted using M9 minimal media supplemented with 0.4% of glucose and 0.2% of casaminoacids. Furthermore, each bacterial strain is tagged with a different fluorescent marker under a chromosomal constitutive promoter (WCL with CFP and GBY with YFP), allowing us to use a fluorescence spectrophotometer to quantify the intensities of both fluorescent channels and use this information to estimate the relative abundances of each strain in a mixed population.

Our main objective is to identify the range of drug concentrations where antibiotic resistance is positively selected for. To achieve this goal, we first need to evaluate the fitness advantage associated with carrying a drug-resistant gene, a property that can be estimated using a pair-wise competition experiment that directly compares the fitness of the drugresistant genotype with respect to the susceptible strain. This can be achieved using a standard protocol in experimental microbiology that consists of growing both strains in controlled environmental conditions for T units of time (usually 24 h), and then estimating the relative abundances of each bacterial subpopulation using a fluorescence spectrophotometer, a flow cytometer or replica plating with selective media. From the relative frequency of each strain at the end of the experiment we can then define the following relative fitness coefficient:

$$\phi_r := \phi_r(A) = \frac{\ln (B_s(T)/B_s(0))}{\ln (B_r(T)/B_r(0))},$$
(2.1)

where $B_r(t)$ and $B_s(t)$ denote the densities of resistant and susceptible strains, respectively, and A the environmental concentration of the antimicrobial substance (in our case, kanamycin). For the purpose of this paper, we will consider that $B_r(0) = B_s(0)$, an assumption that allows us to estimate $\phi_r(A)$ based only in observations about the state of the system at the end of the experiment.

Figure 1*b* shows the optical densities of both bacterial strains after 24 h of growing in rich media (LB) in the absence of antibiotics, both in co-culture and in isolation. Note that growth rate of the resistant mono-culture (cyan bar) is lower than that of the susceptible bacteria (yellow), implying that kanamycin resistance is associated with a fitness burden in drug-free environments [38]. As a result, the susceptible strain has a higher competitive fitness than the resistant subpopulation at low antibiotic concentrations, a feature represented by the inequality $\phi_r < 1$.

By contrast, if the concentration of antibiotic is high, then the advantage of carrying a resistance mutation outweighs its fitness burden and therefore $\phi_r(A)$ is a monotonically increasing function of antibiotic dose (figure 1*a*). If we denote with A_{MSC} the antibiotic concentration such that $\phi_r(A) > 1$ for all $A > A_{\text{MSC}}$, then we can identify the range of drug concentrations whereby resistance is positively selected for. This critical concentration is referred to in the literature as the *minimal selection concentration* [24] (MSC).

In order to study how the local strength of selection for resistance changes in an antibiotic gradient, we use a dose– response experiment that consists of growing cells under increasing drug concentrations and measuring bacterial densities or maximal growth rates in a fixed time interval. This assay is routinely used in medical microbiology to estimate drug concentrations that completely inhibit growth of



Figure 1. Effect of antibiotic concentration in a competition experiment between resistant (W_{CL} , CFP) and susceptible (G_{BV} , YFP) strains. (*a*) Relative fitness as a function of kanamycin concentration, in co-culture. (*b*) Optical density of each strain, on isolation and co-culture. Note how the growth rate of the susceptible strain is larger compared to the resistant subpopulation, resulting in a larger fraction of the population after 24 h. (*c*) Normalized fluorescence intensity measured under a range of drug concentrations. Note how the intensity of the yellow channel drops dramatically at sub-inhibitory concentrations, resulting in an increase in the frequency of the resistant subpopulation. (*d*) Experimental growth curves for different drug concentrations (in grey each of six replicates, with their mean plotted in solid black). The population structure of the mixed population was estimated from measurements at different wavelengths (turbidity: 630 nm, cyan: 434 nm/479 nm, yellow: 497 nm/535 nm). As the antibiotic concentration increases, the overall density remains constant (*e*), but the relative fraction of resistance in the population increases (*c*). (Online version in colour.)

a specific clinical isolate and, for this reason, dose–response experiments are usually performed with mono-cultures. In our experiments, we inoculate the dose–response experiment with both strains, with the aim of computing $\phi_r(A_i)$ for a range of drug concentrations $A_1 < A_2 < ... < A_i < ... < A_n$.

Another critical antibiotic concentration is known as the *minimum inhibitory concentration* (MIC), defined as the drug concentration such that bacterial growth is completely suppressed. In general, the shape of dose–response curves can be approximated with a logistic equation. Indeed, figure 1*c*,*d* shows that total bacterial density remains constant at sub-MIC concentrations, but relative abundances of each subpopulation change as a function of dose, with B_r increasing in frequency as the concentration of drug increases. The region in drug-space where bacterial growth is still observed and drug resistance is under positive selection (i.e. $\phi_r(A) > 1$) is known as the *mutant selection window* (MSW) [39].

An interesting observation obtained by comparing figure 1c,d, is that the MIC of the co-culture corresponds to the MIC of the resistant subpopulation, while the susceptible strain has, by definition, a lower MIC. Interestingly, in our experiments, bacterial density seems to be maximized at intermediate drug concentrations, a feature that has been reported previously [40] and can have many causes, including B_r growing without competition at intermediate drug concentrations [41]. Indeed, figure 1c,d shows that there is a range

of concentrations where B_s has already gone extinct, but the concentration is not high enough to suppress growth of the resistant subpopulation. Note that, in clinical settings, this is the region of drug-space that we would like to avoid to prevent the evolution of drug resistance.

2.2. Modelling drug-resistance population dynamics

Previous studies have used a *top-down* approach and postulated pharmacodynamic models derived from fitting dose–response curves with Hill functions [42,43]. Instead, here we will use a *bottom-up* approach that explicitly considers the concentrations of limiting resource and antibiotics present in the environment, with the aim of evaluating the population dynamics between susceptible and resistant bacterial types that emerges in response to different environmental conditions. In the following section, we will discuss how to include the spatial component into the model, but first let us assume that the environment is well mixed and, therefore, the interaction between bacterial cells and abiotic molecules follows a mass action kinetics so we can model temporal changes in bacterial abundances using a system of ordinary differential equations.

Although clearly a possibility, for the purpose of this paper, we will not consider that susceptible cells can acquire drug resistance through stochastic mutations or horizontal gene transfer. The reason for this assumption is that we are



Figure 2. Numerical simulations of equations (2.3a-d) with parameters described in table 1. Each box shows a plot of bacterial density as a function of time (black line), with the density of resistant and susceptible subpopulations represented as stacked areas (B_r in blue and B_s in yellow). The environmental concentration of antibiotic doubles from left to right. We note that, as expected, total bacterial density decreases as the concentration of antibiotic is incremented, but the frequency of resistance in the population is positively correlated with antibiotic dose. (Online version in colour.)

and

mainly interested in studying the ecological dynamics of the system after a resistant mutant appears in the population. Therefore, both in our numerical simulations and in the experiments discussed later in this paper, we will consider that both strains are a priori present in the system the moment the antibiotic is deployed and quantify the strength of selection for resistance, $\phi_r(A)$, in response to a range of antibiotic concentrations.

First, let us represent the concentration of a limiting resource present in the environment with the variable R(t). Then uptake of resources into each cell can be modelled with a nonlinear saturating resource uptake function that depends on R(t):

$$U_*(R(t)) = \frac{\mu_{\max}^* R(t)}{K_m^* + R(t)},$$
(2.2)

where μ_{\max}^* and K_m^* denote the maximum uptake rate and the half-saturation constants, respectively, of bacterial type B_* .

Now let us suppose that, at time t, the environment contains an antibiotic at a concentration that we will denote A(t). In particular, we will consider an antibiotic with a bacteriostatic mode of action, namely that it inhibits bacterial growth by interfering with protein translation, DNA replication, or other aspects of bacterial cellular metabolism. As mentioned before, in the experiments presented in this paper we are using kanamycin, an aminoglycocide that binds to the 30S subunit of the ribosome, thereby suppressing bacterial protein synthesis and inhibiting growth. Therefore, we will model growth inhibition with a monotonically decreasing function, such that $\gamma(A(t)) \ge 0$ for all *A*, and with $\gamma(0) = 1$ in the absence of antibiotic, for instance,

$$\gamma(A) = 1 - \frac{k_1 A}{1 + k_2 A},$$

where parameters k_1 represent the cell's affinity for the antibiotic and k_2 the maximal growth inhibition by the bacteriostatic drug. Note that by considering that bacteriostatic antibiotics inhibit growth rate through competitive inhibition of a cellular target, it is possible to derive $\gamma(A)$ using enzymatic mechanics [44]. Now, α_s and α_r are parameters that represent the inactivation of drug molecules for each bacterial strain as a result of their binding with the cellular targets.

Then bacterial growth rate of bacterial type B_* can be modelled as the resource uptake function multiplied by the inhibition coefficient, $G_*(R, A) = \rho_* \cdot \gamma_*(A) \cdot U_*(R)$, where ρ_* denotes a resource conversion coefficient that represents the efficiency of each subpopulation in converting resource molecules into biomass.

So, if $x(t) = (R(t), A(t), B_s(t), B_r(t))$ represents the state of the system, the system of equations that describe its temporal dynamics can be written as

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}R}{\mathrm{d}t} = -U_s(R)B_s - U_r(R)B_r, \qquad (2.3a)$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}A}{\mathrm{d}t} = -A(\alpha_s B_s + \alpha_r B_r), \qquad (2.3b)$$
$$\mathrm{d}B_s$$

$$\frac{B_s}{tt} = G_s(R, A)B_s \tag{2.3c}$$

 $\frac{dt}{dt} = -\frac{dB_r}{dt} = G_r(R, A)B_r$ (R⁰, (2.3d)

with initial conditions $x(0) = (R^0, A^0, B_s^0, B_r^0)$.

Figure 2 shows numerical simulations of this model with different initial drug concentrations and parameter values described in table 1 (solved using standard ODE solvers in Matlab). Note how at low antibiotic concentrations, B_s has a higher growth rate than B_r and therefore the yellow area is larger than the blue area. These numerically obtained growth curves illustrated in figure 2 compare qualitatively well with the experimental data shown in figure 1d; as the concentration of antibiotic increases, so does the competitive fitness of the resistant strain until eventually the susceptible strain is no longer able to grow and the population is entirely composed of resistant cells (blue area). At very high drug concentrations, neither of the strains can grow and the bacterial population is completely suppressed.

2.3. Modelling diffusion of antibiotics in connected micro-environments

The model presented in the previous section assumes a uniform distribution of cells and abiotic molecules in the environment. This is, of course, a simplification, as natural environments like the human body are not homogeneous, but instead can be approximated with a series of discretely distributed compartments [45].

It is known that complex population dynamics can emerge from the mosaic of selective pressures imposed by a spatially structured environment, with separate locations selecting for specific gene variants [46]. Previous studies have also shown that drugs have characteristic penetration profiles through the tissue, thus creating antibiotic gradients and exposing bacterial populations to a range of antibiotic concentrations [21] and, as a result, producing dynamic drug resistance fitness landscapes [42,47].

For the purpose of this paper, we will consider that the environment consists of a network of connected microenvironments, each occupied by a polimicrobial community composed of susceptible and resistant strains. We will consider that abiotic substances (e.g. antibiotics and glucose) can diffuse between adjacent micro-environments, but that cells are incapable of moving between neighbouring compartments. This assumption allows us to argue that, even without considering cell migration, selection for resistance is enhanced in environments with a high degree of connectivity.

In the following sections, we will describe an experimental device that allows diffusion of drugs, but not of aerobic bacterial cells. But, first, let us define *E* as the spatial location where a group of cells interact with the extracellular environment. We will refer to this quasi-homogeneous region as a micro-environment or a compartment. Then we can model the population dynamics that occurs in each compartment using equations (2.3*a*–*d*), but with drug molecules diffusing between adjacent micro-environments. Therefore, if δ_A represents the antibiotic diffusion rate and $\sigma_{i,j}$ the degree of connectivity between compartments E^i and E^j , then the concentration of antibiotic in E^i can be denoted by A^i and modelled by the following differential equation:

$$\frac{dA^{i}}{dt} = -A^{i}(\alpha_{s}G_{s}(R^{i}) + \alpha_{r}G_{r}(R^{i})) + \sum_{n=1}^{N}\sigma_{i,n}(A^{n} - A^{i})\delta_{A}, \quad (2.4)$$

where *N* represents the total number of micro-environments in the system ($\sigma_{i,j} = 0$ when E^i and E^j are not connected). Similarly, we will also consider that resources can diffuse between micro-environments at a rate δ_R . Therefore, the differential equation that describes the rate of change of resource concentration in E^i is

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}R^{i}}{\mathrm{d}t} = -U_{s}(R^{i})B_{s}^{i} - U_{r}(R^{i})B_{r}^{i} + \sum_{n=1}^{N}\sigma_{i,n}(R^{n} - R^{i})\delta_{R}.$$
 (2.5)

We would expect that, if a lethal concentration of antibiotic is deployed in a node of the network, the antibiotic concentration in a different location (and thus the strength of selection in favour of the resistant subpopulation in that micro-environment), will depend on the drug concentration used, but also on the distance to the antibiotic source.

2.4. Dynamics of drug resistance in antibiotic gradients In order to evaluate how the degree of connectivity of the network correlates with the overall strength of selection for resistance, first, we will consider that the environment is composed of a linear array of connected micro-environments. We will then solve numerically equations (2.3*a*–*d*) to evaluate the population dynamics that emerges in each micro-environment in response to an antibiotic gradient. For simplicity, we will consider that all consecutive microenvironments are at the same distance of each other, that is $\sigma_{i,i+1} \equiv \sigma$. By contrast, $\sigma_{i,j} \equiv 0$ if compartments E_i and E_j are not consecutive.

As we are using a lethal antibiotic concentration, in the vicinity of the location where the drug was applied, bacterial growth was completely inhibited. By contrast, micro-environments far away from the drug source present very low antibiotic concentrations and thus susceptible bacteria grow until carrying capacity. These low-dose compartments are referred to in previous studies as drug sanctuaries [21]. At intermediate drug concentrations, however, the resistant subpopulation has a fitness advantage over the susceptible strain, $\phi_r > 1$.

Note that although the total concentration of antibiotic used is the same in all our numerical experiments, the number of micro-environments where $\phi_r > 1$, that is the MSW, is higher in environments with high degree of connectivity. This is illustrated in figure 3a, where it shows that if we increase σ_r , the number of compartments where $\phi_r > 1$ (blue circles) increases. Indeed, figure 3b shows that the number of microenvironments where the resistant strain outcompetes the susceptible subpopulation appears to be positively correlated with the degree of connectivity of the environment. Also, as a result of more antibiotic diffusing out of the source into the neighbouring compartments, the total bacterial density observed in all compartments decreases as we increase σ . This, in turn, is correlated with an increase in the total frequency of resistance in the population, as illustrated in figure 3c.

The numerical experiments presented thus far are performed for a fixed time interval of T = 24 units of time. Interestingly, the strength of selection under different diffusion regimes also depends on the duration of the observation. For instance, if T = 0 both strains have the same density (by experimental design) and therefore $\phi_r = 1$ in every compartment, independently of the degree of connectivity. As *T* increases, however, more antibiotic is allowed to diffuse out of the drug source and therefore selection for resistance is enhanced. Figure 3*d* shows how the region where $\phi_r > 1$ increases as larger values of *T* are considered.

To investigate whether the enhanced diffusion of antibiotics produces increased selection for resistance, we will probe *in vitro* how antibiotic gradients modulate the fitness landscape of a population of resistant and susceptible bacteria. In particular, first we will consider a linear array of connected micro-environments with a lethal dose of antibiotic deployed in a single node. As expected, the antibiotic diffuses to the adjacent compartments, thus producing a drug gradient. Note that we can modify the gradient formed by increasing the connectivity between adjacent nodes.

It was recently shown that 3D printing can be performed in sterile conditions [48], so we designed a protocol that implements gradient devices printed in polylactic acid (PLA). In particular, we printed a linear array of microenvironments connected through channels that restrain movement of bacterial cells, but allow diffusion of antibiotic molecules between connected compartments (see figure 4*a* for an illustration of these devices).

First, we validated our theoretical assumption that cells were not able to move between compartments by inoculating a single compartment by printing a series of devices composed of wells connected through channels of different widths (1, 3 and 5 mm) and filling each well with 200 μ l of soft M9 agar. We concluded there was no movement of cells after observing no bacterial growth in the neighbouring compartments when incubating at 30°C for 48 h. This was expected, as oxygen gradients are formed in the agar and therefore aerobic bacterial cells (for instance, the *E. coli* strain used in this study) live in the agar surface and cannot penetrate deep enough

Figure 3. Numerical simulations of micro-environments with varying degrees of connectivity in a linear array. (*a*) Population dynamics of resistant and susceptible bacteria in different micro-environments. The diameter is proportional to the total bacterial density, while the relative fitness measured at the end of the experiment is colour-coded (yellow represents that susceptible bacteria have a higher fitness and cyan that antibiotic resistance is positively selected for). Each row corresponds to a different degree of connectivity (illustrated as a change on width), from no connection (bottom row) to a high degree of connectivity (top row). A lethal dose of antibiotics is deployed in the left-most column and hence the horizontal axis represents the distance to the drug source. (*b*) Proportion of micro-environments where the resistant bacteria have a higher fitness than the susceptible subpopulation ($\phi_r > 1$). Note how, as the degree of connectivity increases, the number of compartments where resistant cells are selected for also increases. (*c*) Total bacterial density as a function of connectivity degree. Although a monotonically decreasing function is observed (black line), the fraction of resistant cells in the population increases (cyan line). (*d*) The region where resistance is positively selected for (cyan area) is enhanced in experiments of larger duration due to more antibiotic being allowed to diffuse out of the drug source, thus increasing the strength of selection for resistance. (Online version in colour.)

to reach the tunnel that connects with the neighbouring micro-environment.

Now that we have established that cells are unable to move between micro-environments, we now need to show that chemical substances can diffuse out of a drug source through the agar tunnels that connect neighbouring compartments. To achieve this goal, we applied a fluorescent dye to the first well of a different device and, using a bespoke fluorescence imaging system (see Material and methods for CAD files and instructions on how to build one), estimated the fluorescence intensity emitted by the fluorescent dye in each compartment at different moments in time. As illustrated in figure 4*b*, each well is relatively homogeneous, but drugs diffuse through the tunnels and a gradient is formed.

After using fluorescent dye and image analysis tools to validate that our 3D-printed devices produced different gradients depending on the width of the channels, we then proceeded to produce antibiotic gradients. These experiments consist of filling the first well of each device with soft M9 agar and 50 μ g ml⁻¹ of kanamycin. Then we allow the plate to dry and fill the rest of the wells with 200 μ l of soft M9 agar (without antibiotic). Finally, we placed the device at 4°C for 48 h for the gradients to form.

The competition assay consisted of inoculating each well in the device with 10 μ l of bacterial culture (on a mixture of 1:1 ratio of susceptible and resistant bacteria) and placing the device inside a petri dish, sealing it with parafilm and incubating it at 30°C for 24 h. To achieve equal fraction of both strains, we grew them both in isolation overnight until reaching a high optical density at 600 nm (1.4 OD₆₀₀–1.6 OD₆₀₀). Then we poured M9 media without nutrients in the culture with the highest optical density to dilute it until both strains are at exactly the same density. The mixed culture used to inoculate the device is obtained by combining 10 ml samples of each strain.

In order to characterize and calibrate the profile of fluorescence generated by each genotype, first we inoculated each

Figure 4. Experimental results. (*a*) CAD design of linear arrays of connected micro-environments with different degrees of connectivity. (*b*) A gradient is formed after using a green fluorescent dye (pyranine) in the bottom compartment. Each column corresponds to a photograph of the device at different time-points. (*c*) Fluorescence intensity estimated using different fluorescence channels, both in mono-culture and in co-culture, of cells grown in the absence of antibiotics. (*d*) Growth of a mixed population after 24 h in the devices depicted in (*a*). Kanamycin is deployed in the left-most well and each well is inoculated with equal proportion of resistant and susceptible strains. The top two rows illustrate different channel widths between micro-environments (channels and well edges annotated with yellow lines), allowing the diffusion of antibiotic molecules between consecutive wells. Note how, as we increase connectivity, there are more wells whereby susceptible bacteria are outcompeted by the resistant strain (blue wells). The bottom row illustrates a control experiment where micro-environments are not connected, so the antibiotic is only present in the first well and therefore the remaining compartments are colonized by susceptible bacteria (yellow wells). (*e*) Relative frequency of susceptible bacteria (estimated using flow cytometry) observed in each micro-environment, as a function of the distance to the antibiotic source. (*f*) Using image processing, we estimated the relative fluorescence of each channel and validated the visible pattern observed in (*d*); as the width of the channel increases, so does the number of compartments where the resistant strain (blue area) outcompetes the susceptible genotype (yellow area). (Online version in colour.)

strain in isolation and measured the fluorescence intensity observed using different optical configurations. As shown in figure 4*c*, G_{BY} can be detected using YFP filters and W_{CL} with CFP filters. When cells are in co-culture, we detected fluorescence in both channels and use the maximum and minimum fluorescence observed by each strain grown in isolation to normalize the fluorescence of the mixed population (see Material and methods for experimental details). We also used a susceptible *E. coli* MG1655 strain as a non-fluorescent control. We, therefore, argue that relative fluorescence is a proxy for the relative abundances of each strain in the population.

Figure 4*d* shows a photograph taken after 24 h using both CFP and YFP fluorescent channels; yellow compartments correspond to micro-environments where the susceptible subpopulation outcompeted resistant cells, and blue compartments where only resistant cells where observed. Using image analysis algorithms we obtained the relative fluorescence intensity of both channels (illustrated in figure 4*f*) and observed that, as predicted in the theoretical results shown in figure 3*a*, the width of the channel is correlated with the number of micro-environments where resistant cells have a higher fitness and outcompete the susceptible subpopulation.

We recovered the bacteria from each well and centrifuged each sample into 1 ml of liquid M9 without nutrients and used a flow cytometer to validate the fraction of cells in each subpopulation estimated using image analysis. Indeed, figure 4*e* shows that in the low-connectivity regimes, the resistant subpopulation outcompetes susceptible bacteria in micro-environments near the drug source. By contrast, when the connectivity is high, susceptible bacteria can grow in a reduced number of compartments.

All together, we conclude that high connectivity between compartments in a linear array of micro-environments enhances diffusion of drug molecules, increasing the strength of selection in favour of drug resistance. In the following section, we will extend this model to consider complex networks of connected micro-environments.

2.5. Population dynamics in complex networks of connected micro-environments

To explore the generality of the result presented previously, we now remove the constraint that micro-environments can only be connected linearly with a maximum of two

Figure 5. Numerical simulations of the population dynamics model in networks with different topological properties. Antibiotic is deployed in a random node of the network and allowed to diffuse to neighbouring micro-environments. (*a*) Fraction of colonized micro-environments by each strain as a function of antibiotic concentration: cyan and yellow bars represent resistant and susceptible bacteria, respectively. Grey bars represent that no growth was detected at the end of the experiment. From top to bottom: complete, wheel, star and cyclical networks. Note that networks with lower connectivity produce drug sanctuaries that allow for bacterial growth, even at high drug concentrations. (*b*) Total bacterial density as a function of antibiotic concentration in random networks with different degrees of connectivity. We note that bacterial density is negatively correlated with antibiotic concentration and network density. (*c*) Frequency of resistance in the population is positively correlated with antibiotic concentration in colour.)

neighbouring compartments. As before, cell movement is not allowed but antibiotic molecules can diffuse between neighbouring compartments. Previous studies have proposed using metapopulation models to study the effect of different network structures in the evolutionary dynamics [49,50]. Here, we use the population dynamics model presented in equations (2.3a-d) to evaluate the effect of networks with different degrees of connectivity in the drug-resistance fitness landscape. Our goal is to count the nodes in the network where drug resistance is positively selected for, that is the fraction of the population locally exposed to drug concentrations within the MSW.

First, we probed small networks with simple architectures and varying degrees of connectivity: a lattice, a star, a wheel and a complete network [51]. We then introduced antibiotic in a random node of the network at t = 0 and numerically solved the bacterial population dynamics model T units of time, in order to estimate the total population density and the frequency of resistance of each node at t = T. It is important to notice that, while in the previous section we used the width of the channel to quantify the connectivity between two neighbouring nodes, we will now assume channel width between connected nodes is constant and focus on a topological definition of connectivity. For instance, we could define *network density* based on the expression 2m/n(n-1), where *n* and *m* represent the number of nodes and edges of the network, respectively. Other measures that quantify the modularity of the network, for instance the network's clustering coefficient [52], produce qualitatively the same results.

Figure 5*a* shows numerical results obtained after simulating 8000 random networks under a range of antibiotic concentrations and parameter values described in table 1. Each bar corresponds to the fraction of nodes in the network colonized by each strain (cyan and yellow for resistant and susceptible strains, respectively, and grey bars represent micro-environments where neither of the strains was able to grow). In all cases, at low antibiotic concentrations, the susceptible strain colonized every micro-environment in the network.

Our results suggest that networks with high degree of connectivity (e.g. a complete graph) allow for a uniform distribution of antibiotics throughout every node in the graph, therefore effectively clearing both populations. By contrast, in networks with intermediate connectivity (e.g. wheel and star), a gradient of antibiotics is produced and some microenvironments present drug concentrations within the MSW, even if the antibiotic is deployed at concentrations higher than the mutant prevention concentration. Interestingly, in networks with low connectivity (e.g. cycles), we observed drug sanctuaries where the antibiotic was present at very low concentrations, resulting in micro-environments colonized by susceptible bacteria. This is remarkable, given that the antibiotic was deployed at a very high dose.

Finally, in order to evaluate the evolutionary consequences of network topology on a large scale [53], we tested the population dynamics that emerges in random networks with different degrees of connectivity [54]. In particular, scale-free networks can be constructed algorithmically from the number of nodes n, the mean degree k (an even integer) and

a parameter β satisfying $0 \le \beta \le 1$. We can then computationally obtain thousands of random undirected graph using the following recursive algorithm: first define a regular ring lattice with n nodes, each connected to k neighbours (k/2 on each side, with edges uniformly distributed among the nodes). Then, for node n_i , the edge (n_i, n_j) with i < j is randomly rewired with probability β to any other node to which n_i is not connected. After producing each network, we estimated its density and simulated the population dynamics that emerges in response to a random node of the network receiving a lethal dose of antibiotics.

In figure 5*b*,*c*, we show results obtained after simulating 10 000 random networks of 100 nodes using different antibiotic concentrations. As expected, diffusion of antibiotics was increased in networks with higher density, resulting in a larger number of nodes with drug concentration above the mutant selection concentration. Consequently, we found that total bacterial density decreased as a function of both network density and antibiotic concentration (figure 5*b*). As previously argued, this decrease in density is correlated, however, with an increased fraction of resistance in the population (figure 5*c*).

3. Discussion

We presented a spatially explicit population dynamics model to argue that diffusion of antibiotics in a heterogeneous environment maximally suppresses growth of a bacterial population. Crucially, we also found that spatial regimes with enhanced diffusion also strengthen selection for antibiotic resistance and, as a result, increase the number of spatial locations with drug concentrations inside the MSW. This prediction was corroborated by growing a co-culture of fluorescently tagged susceptible and resistant strains in a 3D-printed gradient device and observing that the number of environments colonized by the resistant subpopulation increased as a function of the connectivity between compartments.

Previous studies have established that spatial structure can drive bacterial communication [55] and modulate diversity patterns in the population [56] and, in this context, it is not surprising that spatially explicit environments can produce a heterogeneous spatio-temporal distribution of genotypes [57] and drive evolutionary dynamics [53]. A common assumption of previous population dynamics models is that environments are heterogeneous but remain constant through time. By contrast, cells are allowed to move between compartments, therefore allowing one to study the effect of migration [58-60] in the evolutionary dynamics. Instead, in our devices, we physically restrain cells from moving between compartments, but allow diffusion of antibiotic molecules through agar tunnels. This approach allows us to study the population dynamics that emerges from a time- and position-dependent fitness landscape produced by the antibiotic gradient formed in the environment.

Cell migration has also been reported to be a key driver of microbial evolutionary dynamics [13,61], for instance by allowing resistant cells to migrate upwards in an antibiotic gradient towards resource-rich regions where susceptible cells cannot survive [26]. It is important to highlight that we purposefully designed our culturing devices to allow diffusion of antibiotics through agar tunnels while blocking bacteria from moving between compartments. This compartmentalization of the environment is a coarse representation of the spatial structure found at multiple scales, from diverse tissue types in a single patient, to multiple patients in a hospital, to communities with different health trends. Moreover, considering the environment as a series of locally homogeneous microenvironments is consistent with our modelling assumptions, allowing us to use computer simulations to evaluate how, in the absence of migration, fitness landscapes change in time and space.

In this study, we are assuming that the interaction between bacteria and environment is not mediated by other abiotic molecules, although previous theoretical and experimental studies have suggested that resource [62] and oxygen [63] gradients can also have a central role in driving diversity patterns and shaping the spatial organization of microbial groups and their susceptibility to antimicrobial substances [64]. Another simplification of our study is that we artificially produced drug gradients by deploying antibiotics in a single location of the environment. Then, by modifying the width of the channel that joins neighbouring compartments, we controlled the diffusion of antibiotic molecules between micro-environments.

The antibiotic gradient produced with our 3D-printed devices is surely a simplification of the complex spatiotemporal profile of drug concentrations observed *in vivo* during antibiotic treatment. But we argue that the formation of antibiotic gradients is ubiquitous and that a uniform spatial distribution of drugs is impossible to achieve in practice. Actually, even if the initial distribution of antibiotics is homogeneous, antibiotic gradients can be self-generated from the interaction between the microbial population and the extracellular environment, for instance by the release of drug-degrading enzymes [65] or the absorption of antimicrobial molecules [66].

A consequence of presenting a non-uniform spatial distribution of genotypes is that bacterial communities can implement collective strategies [67] that would be evolutionarily unstable in well-mixed environments, notably metabolic cross-feeding [68], production of signalling molecules [69] and of extracellular matrix [70] that enable the formation of biofilms [71] and the implementation of population-based resistance mechanisms [69,72]. Therefore we argue that spatial structure, being an inherent and unavoidable property of the environment, is not only key to understand the evolutionary forces driving drug resistance adaptation, but also potentially useful to decrease pathogenic virulence by disrupting quorum-sensing signalling [55,73] or in the design of spatially targeted treatments that select against resistant genotypes [61].

4. Material and methods

4.1. Bacterial strains and culture conditions

For the competition assay between the resistant and susceptible bacteria to the antibiotic kanamycin, we used two *Escherichia coli* strains MC4100 [36,37] labelled with either YFP (G_{BY}) for the susceptible strain or CFP (WCL) for the resistant strain under a constitutive *PLac* promoter. We also use MG1655 as a non-resistant, non-fluorescent control strain. All experiments were conducted using M9 minimal media supplemented with 0.4% of glucose and 0.2% of casaminoacids. Overnight cultures of WCL and G_{BY} strains were grown separately in M9 minimal media, as described above, at 30°C in continuous shaking.

After 20 h of growth, we measure optical density with a spectrophotometer and dilute the sample with the highest OD to equalize the optical density for both cultures. Then we make a mixture at 1:1 ratio, 10 µl of this co-culture is inoculated into each well of a 96-well plate with a range of kanamycin dilutions in M9 minimal media at fixed doses, and incubated again at 30°C for 20 h. For the linear array assay, we filled each well with 200 µl of soft M9 with nutrients as described above; for the first well we add kanamycin to the media (50 µl ml⁻¹) and let them dry and diffused at 4°C for 48 h. Then 10 µl of bacterial culture (in a mixture at 1:1 ratio) was added to each well. The device was placed inside a petri dish, sealed with parafilm and incubated at 30°C for 24 h.

4.2. Fabrication of 3D-printed culture devices

We used OpenScad to design culture devices composed of a linear array of micro-environments with different degrees of connectivity. Devices were fabricated using a commercial 3D printer (Robo3D R2) with PLA. Each micro-environment and the channels that connect them were filled with semi-solid media (M9 + agar) allowing nutrients and antibiotic to move between neighbouring micro-environment by simple diffusion. Bacterial movement between micro-environments is prevented by independently inoculating the surface on each well with a 1:1 proportion of resistant and susceptible bacteria. CAD files for 3D printing can be downloaded from NIH 3D Print Exchange: https://3dprint.nih.gov/discover/3dpx-011236.

4.3. Flow cytometry

To estimate relative abundances of each strain using flow cytometry, first we collected the bacterial population of each well by scraping all the surface and vortexing it in minimal media without nutrients to remove the agar. We measured 10 000 events per sample using CFP (7/405-175 mW) and YFP (2/488-150 mW) fluorescent channels of an Image Streamx Amnis flow cytometer. Analysis was performed using Image Stream Data Analysis and Exploration Software (IDEAS).

4.4. Image acquisition and analysis

We used an image acquisition system engineered using low-cost, open-source software and hardware (based on Arduino microcontrollers, http://www.arduino.cc/) and simple electronics components. This device controls a standard CCD camera (Canon EOS Rebel T6i with a 100 mm macro lens) and produces time-lapse movies from multi-channel images (each frame is an array of images acquired using different fluorescent channels, exposure times, etc.). Fluorescence is quantified using highintensity light-emitting diodes (Luxeon Star, http://www.luxeonstar.com/) and fluorescence imaging filters acquired from Thorlabs (cyan: excitation 434 nm and emission 479 nm; and yellow: excitation 497 nm and emission 535 nm). Image analysis was performed using ImageJ (https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/). Design files and instructions to build the biological apparatus for fluorescence estimation used in this study can be downloaded from https://github.com/ccg-esb-lab/baffle.

Data accessibility. Data and code can be downloaded from https://github.com/ccg-esb/MSW3D.

Competing interests. We declare we have no competing interests.

Funding. This work was supported by PAPIIT-UNAM (grants 201016 and IN209419) and a Newton Advanced Fellowship awarded by the Royal Society (NA140196)

Acknowledgements. We thank Rob Beardmore and Remy Chait for useful discussions and for providing the strains used in this study. We also thank Arne Traulsen and Michael Sieber for hosting A.F.M. for a semester, as well as Laura Oropeza and Ben Cooper for hosting R.D.P. and A.H.-K., respectively.

Appendix A

Table 1. Parameters used in the numerical solutions of the population dynamics model.

parameter	value	description
δ_A	0.2	antibiotic diffusion rate
δ_{R}	0.2	resource diffusion rate
$ ho_*$	$(1.4 \times 10^8, 1.05 \times 10^8)$	resource conversion coefficient (B_{sr}, B_r)
μ_*	$(7.3 \times 10^{-10}, 8 \times 10^{-10})$	maximum uptake rate (B _s , B _r)
К _*	(1, 1)	half-saturation constant (B_s, B_r)
K1,*	(0.16, 0.055)	affinity for antibiotic (B_s, B_r)
К2,*	(0.04, 0.06)	maximal growth inhibition (B_s, B_r)
α.	$(10 \times 10^{-10}, 10 \times 10^{-9})$	antibiotic degradation rate (B_s, B_r)

References

- 1. WHO. 2014 Antimicrobial resistance: global report on surveillance. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO.
- Securing new drugs for future generations: the pipeline of antibiotics (Review on Antimicrobial Resistance, 2015). See https://amr-review.org/sites/default/files/ SECURING%20NEW%20DRUGS%20F0R%20FUTURE% 20GENERATIONS%20FINAL%20WEB_0.pdf.
- Lee C-R, Cho I, Jeong B, Lee S. 2013 Strategies to minimize antibiotic resistance. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **10**, 4274–4305. (doi:10.3390/ ijerph10094274)
- Meek RW, Vyas H, Piddock LJV. 2015 Nonmedical uses of antibiotics: time to restrict their use? *PLoS Biol.* 13, e1002266. (doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1002266)

- Stratton CW. 2003 Dead bugs don't mutate: susceptibility issues in the emergence of bacterial resistance. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 9, 10. (doi:10.3201/ eid0901.020172)
- Richards H, Gifford DR, Hatcher C, Faulkner KJ, Belavkin RV, Channon A, Aston E, McBain AJ, Knight CG. 2017 Spontaneous mutation rate is a plastic trait associated with population density across domains of life. *PLoS Biol.* **15**, e2002731. (doi:10. 1371/journal.pbio.2002731)
- Galhardo RS, Hastings PJ, Rosenberg SM. 2007 Mutation as a stress response and the regulation of evolvability. *Crit. Rev. Biochem. Mol. Biol.* 42, 399–435. (doi:10.1080/10409230701648502)
- Pena-Miller R, Laehnemann D, Jansen G, Fuentes-Hernandez A, Rosenstiel P, Schulenburg H, Beardmore R. 2013 When the most potent combination of antibiotics selects for the greatest bacterial load: the smile-frown transition. *PLoS Biol.* **11**, e1001540. (doi:10.1371/journal.pbio. 1001540)
- Read AF, Day T, Huijben S. 2011 The evolution of drug resistance and the curious orthodoxy of aggressive chemotherapy. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci.* USA 108, 10 871–10 877. (doi:10.1073/pnas. 1100299108)
- 10. Geli P, Laxminarayan R, Dunne M, Smith DL. 2012 'One-size-fits-all'? Optimizing treatment duration for

royalsocietypublishing.org/journal/rsif J. R. Soc. Interface 16: 20190363

11

bacterial infections. *PLoS ONE* **7**, e29838. (doi:10. 1371/journal.pone.0029838)

- Kouyos RD *et al.* 2014 The path of least resistance: aggressive or moderate treatment? *Proc. R. Soc. B* 281, 20140566. (doi:10.1098/rspb. 2014.0566)
- Hegreness M, Shoresh N, Damian D, Hartl D, Kishony R. 2008 Accelerated evolution of resistance in multidrug environments. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **105**, 13 977–13 981. (doi:10.1073/pnas. 0805965105)
- Baym M, Stone LK, Kishony R. 2016 Multidrug evolutionary strategies to reverse antibiotic resistance. *Science* **351**, aad3292. (doi:10.1126/ science.aad3292)
- Kim S, Lieberman TD, Kishony R. 2014 Alternating antibiotic treatments constrain evolutionary paths to multidrug resistance. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 111, 14 494–14 499. (doi:10.1073/pnas.1409800111)
- Imamovic L, Sommer MO. 2013 Use of collateral sensitivity networks to design drug cycling protocols that avoid resistance development. *Sci. Transl. Med.* 5, 204ra132. (doi:10.1126/scitranslmed. 3006609)
- Fuentes-Hernandez A, Plucain J, Gori F, Pena-Miller R, Reding C, Jansen G, Schulenburg H, Gudelj I, Beardmore R. 2015 Using a sequential regimen to eliminate bacteria at sublethal antibiotic dosages. *PLoS Biol.* **13**, e1002104. (doi:10.1371/journal.pbio. 1002104)
- Beardmore RE, Peña-Miller R, Gori F, Iredell J. 2017 Antibiotic cycling and antibiotic mixing: which one best mitigates antibiotic resistance? *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 34, 802–817. (doi:10.1093/molbev/msw292)
- McAdams D, Waldetoft KW, Tedijanto C, Lipsitch M, Brown SP. 2019 Resistance diagnostics as a public health tool to combat antibiotic resistance: a model-based evaluation. *PLoS Biol.* **17**, e3000250. (doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.3000250)
- Deresinski S. 2009 Vancomycin in combination with other antibiotics for the treatment of serious methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* infections. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **49**, 1072–1079. (doi:10. 1086/605572)
- Solas C, Lafeuillade A, Halfon P, Hittinger G, Lacarelle B. 2003 Discrepancies between protease inhibitor concentrations and viral load in reservoirs and sanctuary sites in human immunodeficiency virus-infected patients. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 47, 238–243. (doi:10.1128/AAC.47.1. 238-243.2003)
- Moreno-Gamez S, Hill AL, Rosenbloom DI, Petrov DA, Nowak MA, Pennings PS. 2015 Imperfect drug penetration leads to spatial monotherapy and rapid evolution of multidrug resistance. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **112**, E2874–E2883. (doi:10.1073/pnas. 1424184112)
- Nosanchuk JD, Lin J, Hunter RP, Aminov RI. 2014 Low-dose antibiotics: current status and outlook for the future. *Front. Microbiol.* 5, 478. (doi:10.3389/ fmicb.2014.00478)
- 23. Arnoldini M, Vizcarra IA, Peña-Miller R, Stocker N, Diard M, Vogel V, Beardmore RE, Hardt WD,

Ackermann M. 2014 Bistable expression of virulence genes in salmonella leads to the formation of an antibiotic-tolerant subpopulation. *PLoS Biol.* **12**, e1001928. (doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1001928)

- Gullberg E, Cao S, Berg OG, Ilbäck C, Sandegren L, Hughes D, Andersson DI. 2011 Selection of resistant bacteria at very low antibiotic concentrations. *PLoS Pathog.* 7, e1002158. (doi:10.1371/journal.ppat. 1002158)
- Andersson DI, Hughes D. 2014 Microbiological effects of sublethal levels of antibiotics. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 12, 465–478. (doi:10.1038/nrmicro3270)
- Hermsen R, Deris JB, Hwa T. 2012 On the rapidity of antibiotic resistance evolution facilitated by a concentration gradient. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **109**, 10 775–10 780. (doi:10.1073/pnas. 1117716109)
- Greulich P, Waclaw B, Allen RJ. 2012 Mutational pathway determines whether drug gradients accelerate evolution of drug-resistant cells. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 088101. (doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett. 109.088101)
- Zhang Q, Lambert G, Liao D, Kim H, Robin K, Tung CK, Pourmand N, Austin RH. 2011 Acceleration of emergence of bacterial antibiotic resistance in connected microenvironments. *Science* 333, 1764–1767. (doi:10.1126/science.1208747)
- Gralka M, Fusco D, Martis S, Hallatschek O. 2017 Convection shapes the tradeoff between antibiotic efficacy and the selection for resistance in spatial gradients. *Phys. Biol.* 14, 2–7. (doi:10.1088/1478-3975/aa7bb3)
- De Jong MG, Wood KB. 2018 Tuning spatial profiles of selection pressure to modulate the evolution of drug resistance. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**, 238102. (doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.120.238102)
- Tecon R, Mitri S, Ciccarese D, Or D, van der Meer JR, Johnson DR. 2019 Bridging the holistic-reductionist divide in microbial ecology. *mSystems* 4, e00265-18. (doi:10.1128/mSystems.00265-18)
- Tenaillon O, Rodríguez-Verdugo A, Gaut RL, McDonald P, Bennett AF, Long AD, Gaut BS. 2012 The molecular diversity of adaptive convergence. *Science* 335, 457–461. (doi:10.1126/science. 1212986)
- Zoz F, Iaconelli C, Lang E, Iddir H, Guyot S, Grandvalet C, Gervais P, Beney L. 2016 Control of relative air humidity as a potential means to improve hygiene on surfaces: a preliminary approach with *Listeria monocytogenes*. *PLoS ONE* 11, e0148418. (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0148418)
- Lowery NV, Ursell T. 2018 Structured environments fundamentally alter dynamics and stability of ecological communities. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 116, 379–388. (doi:10.1073/pnas.1811887116)
- Or D, Smets BF, Wraith J, Dechesne A, Friedman S. 2007 Physical constraints affecting bacterial habitats and activity in unsaturated porous media—a review. *Adv. Water Res.* **30**, 1505–1527. (doi:10. 1016/j.advwatres.2006.05.025)
- Chait R, Craney A, Kishony R. 2007 Antibiotic interactions that select against resistance. *Nature* 446, 668–671. (doi:10.1038/nature05685)

- Chait R, Shrestha S, Shah AK, Michel J-B, Kishony R. 2010 A differential drug screen for compounds that select against antibiotic resistance. *PLoS ONE* 5, e15179. (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0015179)
- Melnyk AH, Wong A, Kassen R. 2015 The fitness costs of antibiotic resistance mutations. *Evol. Appl.* 8, 273–283. (doi:10.1111/eva.12196)
- Drlica K, Zhao X. 2007 Mutant selection window hypothesis updated. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 44, 681–688. (doi:10.1086/511642)
- Eagle H, Musselman A. 1948 The rate of bactericidal action of penicillin *in vitro* as a function of its concentration, and its paradoxically reduced activity at high concentrations against certain organisms. *J. Exp. Med.* 88, 99–131. (doi:10.1084/jem.88.1.99)
- Pena-Miller R, Fuentes-Hernandez A, Reding C, Gudelj I, Beardmore R. 2014 Testing the optimality properties of a dual antibiotic treatment in a two-locus, two-allele model. *J. R. Soc. Interface* **11**, 20131035. (doi:10.1098/rsif.2013.1035)
- Engelstädter J. 2014 Fitness landscapes emerging from pharmacodynamic functions in the evolution of multidrug resistance. *J. Evol. Biol.* 27, 840–853. (doi:10.1111/jeb.12355)
- Regoes RR, Wiuff C, Zappala RM, Garner KN, Baquero F, Levin BR. 2004 Pharmacodynamic functions: a multiparameter approach to the design of antibiotic treatment regimens. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 48, 3670–3676. (doi:10.1128/AAC.48. 10.3670-3676.2004)
- Peña-Miller R, Lähnemann D, Schulenburg H, Ackermann M, Beardmore R. 2012 Selecting against antibiotic-resistant pathogens: optimal treatments in the presence of commensal bacteria. *Bull. Math. Biol.* 74, 908–934. (doi:10.1007/s11538-011-9698-5)
- Kepler TB, Perelson AS. 1998 Drug concentration heterogeneity facilitates the evolution of drug resistance. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 95, 11 514–11 519. (doi:10.1073/pnas.95.20.11514)
- Baquero F, Negri MC. 1997 Selective compartments for resistant microorganisms in antibiotic gradients. *BioEssays* **19**, 731–736. (doi:10.1002/bies. 950190814)
- Mira PM, Meza JC, Nandipati A, Barlow M. 2015 Adaptive landscapes of resistance genes change as antibiotic concentrations change. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 32, 2707–2715. (doi:10.1093/molbev/msv146)
- Neches RY, Flynn KJ, Zaman L, Tung E, Pudlo N. 2016 On the intrinsic sterility of 3D printing. *PeerJ* 4, e2661. (doi:10.7717/peerj.2661)
- Constable GW, McKane AJ. 2015 Stationary solutions for metapopulation moran models with mutation and selection. *Phys. Rev. E* 91, 032711. (doi:10. 1103/PhysRevE.91.032711)
- Constable GW, McKane AJ. 2014 Population genetics on islands connected by an arbitrary network: an analytic approach. *J. Theor. Biol.* **358**, 149–165. (doi:10.1016/j.jtbi.2014.05.033)
- Askari M, Miraghaei ZM, Samani KA. 2017 The effect of hubs and shortcuts on fixation time in evolutionary graphs. *J. Stat. Mech. Theory Exp.* 2017, 073501. (doi:10.1088/1742-5468/aa79b2)

- Opsahl T, Panzarasa P. 2009 Clustering in weighted networks. *Soc. Netw.* **31**, 155–163. (doi:10.1016/ j.socnet.2009.02.002)
- Allen B, Lippner G, Chen YT, Fotouhi B, Momeni N, Yau ST, Nowak MA. 2017 Evolutionary dynamics on any population structure. *Nature* 544, 227–230. (doi:10.1038/nature21723)
- Watts DJ, Strogatz SH. 1998 Collective dynamics of 'small-world'networks. *Nature* 393, 440–442. (doi:10.1038/30918)
- Kim MK, Ingremeau F, Zhao A, Bassler BL, Stone HA. 2016 Local and global consequences of flow on bacterial quorum sensing. *Nat. Microbiol.* 1, 15005. (doi:10.1038/nmicrobiol.2015.5)
- Rainey PB, Travisano M. 1998 Adaptive radiation in a heterogeneous environment. *Nature* **394**, 69–72. (doi:10.1038/27900)
- Legrand D, Cote J, Fronhofer EA, Holt RD, Ronce O, Schtickzelle N, Travis JM, Clobert J. 2017 Ecoevolutionary dynamics in fragmented landscapes. *Ecography* 40, 9–25. (doi:10.1111/ecog.02537)
- Khasin M, Khain E, Sander LM. 2012 Fast migration and emergent population dynamics. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 109, 248102. (doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.248102)
- Waddell JN, Sander LM, Doering CR. 2010 Demographic stochasticity versus spatial variation in the competition between fast and slow dispersers. *Theor. Popul. Biol.* 77, 279–286. (doi:10.1016/j.tpb.2010.03.001)
- 60. Lin YT, Kim H, Doering CR. 2015 Demographic stochasticity and evolution of dispersion II:

spatially inhomogeneous environments. *J. Math. Biol.* **70**, 679–707. (doi:10.1007/s00285-014-0756-0)

- 61. Okamoto KW, Post DM, Vasseur DA, Turner PE. 2018 Managing the emergence of pathogen resistance via spatially targeted antimicrobial use. *Evol. Appl.* **11**, 1822–1841. (doi:10.1111/eva.12683)
- Mitri S, Clarke E, Foster KR. 2016 Resource limitation drives spatial organization in microbial groups. *ISME J.* **10**, 1471–1482. (doi:10.1038/ismej. 2015.208)
- Chen J *et al.* 2017 Impacts of chemical gradients on microbial community structure. *ISME J.* **11**, 920–931. (doi:10.1038/ismej.2016.175)
- Sinclair P, Carballo-Pacheco M, Allen RJ. 2019 Growth-dependent drug susceptibility can prevent or enhance spatial expansion of a bacterial population. *Phys. Biol.* 16, 046001. (doi:10.1088/ 1478-3975/ab131e)
- Yurtsev EA, Chao HX, Datta MS, Artemova T, Gore J. 2013 Bacterial cheating drives the population dynamics of cooperative antibiotic resistance plasmids. *Mol. Syst. Biol.* 9, 683. (doi:10.1038/ msb.2013.39)
- Snoussi M, Talledo JP, Del Rosario NA, Mohammadi S, Ha BY, Košmrlj A, Taheri-Araghi S. 2018 Heterogeneous absorption of antimicrobial peptide II37 in *Escherichia coli* cells enhances population survivability. *eLife* 7, e38174. (doi:10.7554/eLife. 38174)

- Peña J, Wu B, Arranz J, Traulsen A. 2016 Evolutionary games of multiplayer cooperation on graphs. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* **12**, e1005059. (doi:10.1371/journal. pcbi.1005059)
- Pande S, Kaftan F, Lang S, Svatoš A, Germerodt S, Kost C. 2016 Privatization of cooperative benefits stabilizes mutualistic cross-feeding interactions in spatially structured environments. *ISME J.* 10, 1413–1423. (doi:10.1038/ismej.2015.212)
- Lee HH, Molla MN, Cantor CR, Collins JJ. 2010 Bacterial charity work leads to population-wide resistance. *Nature* 467, 82–85. (doi:10.1038/ nature09354)
- Nadell CD, Ricaurte D, Yan J, Drescher K, Bassler BL. 2017 Flow environment and matrix structure interact to determine spatial competition in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilms. *eLife* 6, e21855. (doi:10.7554/eLife.21855)
- Flemming H-C, Wingender J, Szewzyk U, Steinberg P, Rice SA, Kjelleberg S. 2016 Biofilms: an emergent form of bacterial life. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 14, 563–575. (doi:10.1038/nrmicro.2016.94)
- Estrela S, Brown SP. 2018 Community interactions and spatial structure shape selection on antibiotic resistant lineages. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* 14, e1006179. (doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1006179)
- Maeda T, García-Contreras R, Pu M, Sheng L, Garcia LR, Tomás M, Wood TK. 2012 Quorum quenching quandary: resistance to antivirulence compounds. *ISME J.* 6, 493–501. (doi:10.1038/ismej.2011.122)